Intellectual Property Administration O. Box 272400

Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 100202073-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

tor(s):

John Stephen Dunfield et al

Confirmation No.: 2192

oplication No.: 10/765402

Examiner: Saira Raza

Filing Date:

Jan 27, 2004

Group Art Unit:

1711

Title:

Cir.

METHOD OF MAKING MICROCAPSULES UTILIZING A FLUID EJECTOR

Mail Stop Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

JII .				
Tra	nsmitted herewith is/are the following in the abov	e-identified app	lication:	
()	Response/Amendment	()	Petition to extend time to re	spond
()	New fee as calculated below	()	Supplemental Declaration	
(X)	No additional fee			
(X)	Other: Response to Restriction Requirement		(fee \$)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY											
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT			(5) PRESENT EXTRA		(6) RATE		(7) ADDITIONAL FEES			
TOTAL CLAIMS		MINUS			=	0	×	\$50	\$	0	
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS			=	0	×	\$200	\$	0	
[] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM + \$360										0	
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00		MONTH 50.00			H MONTH 1590.00		\$	0		
OTHER FEES											
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT										0	

to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit: 10 u 06

Typed Name: Donald J. Coulman

Signature:

Respectfully submitted,

John Stephen Dunfield et al

Donald J. Coulman

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 50,406

Date: 10/21/05

Telephone No.: (541) 715-1694

PATENT APPLICATION

Attorney Docket No: 100202073-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

John Stephen Dunfield et al. **Inventors:**

Examiner: Saira Raza

Application No:

10/765,402

Group Art Unit:

1711

Filing Date:

January 27, 2004

Confirmation No:

2192

Title: METHOD OF MAKING MICROCAPSULES UTILIZING A FLUID

EJECTOR

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 **Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to Examiner's Office Communication dated October 6, 2005 Applicants respond as follows:

Examiner has identified eleven distinct species (a)-(k). Examiner has required restriction.

Applicants respectfully traverse Examiner's restriction. Applicants believe that the restriction requirement is improper for several reasons. First, Examiner has not established a prima facie case for restricting the claims of the application. Applicants believe that Examiner must provide a reasoned explanation why the inventions as claimed are distinct as well as explaining why the distinct inventions must be restricted on the basis of a either a separate classification, a separate status in the art, or a different filed of search. Examiner in identifying items (a)-(k) has provided no argument or explanation how these items are distinct species. As just one example, Examiner has provided no explanation how activating a fluid ejector (a) is a species distinct from ejecting drop (b) or moving fluid ejector (c). This is especially true in what appear to be several arbitrary groupings of claims (e.g. d, g, h, etc.) for the purpose of increasing the number of species, without even a descriptor associated with the grouping providing some indication of distinctness.